

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/040,738	11/01/2001	Keiji Nakahara	6731-01	3601
75	90 03/26/2003			
McCormick, Paulding & Huber			EXAMINER	
City Place II 185 Asylum Street			MCHENRY, KEVIN L	
Hartford, CT 06103-3402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	1725	
			DATE MAILED: 03/26/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		4				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/040,738	NAKAHARA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
	Kevin L McHenry	1725				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover she t with th correspond nce address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ TI	his action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 February 2002</u> is/are: a) \square accepted or b) \boxtimes objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: □						
1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)	p.1011., andoi 00 0.0.0. 33 120					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5	5) Notice of Informal I	v (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Art Unit: 1725

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference sign(s) not mentioned in the description: items 19 and 20. A proposed drawing correction, corrected drawings, or amendment to the specification to add the reference sign(s) in the description, are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP 9-3581.

JP 9-3581 teaches a process for producing a lightweight, high-strength member that can be used in automotive applications that is made by pouring an aluminum alloy into a mold, such as through die casting, to cast a perform. The alloy contains 6.5-8.0 wt % Si and 0.2-0.6 wt % Cu. The perform is then hot forged to form a final product and heat treating through solution treatment and aging can be performed (see JP 9-3581; particularly abstract; paragraphs 1, 2, 5, 11, 13, 16, and 18).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 9-3581 as applied to claim 1 above, further in view of Drury et al. (U.S.P. 5,211,216).

JP 9-3581 teaches the process described above in section 3. However, JP 9-3581 does not teach that the perform is pressure cast with a pressure of at least 39 Mpa.

Drury et al. teach a die casting process for aluminum and aluminum-silicon alloys in which the alloy is pressure cast with a pressure of up to about 10,000 to 20,000 psi. Drury et al. teach that this process provides castings with good structural integrity through a lost cost, high volume die casting process and that casting will have improved porosity characteristics. This reference teaches that the casting can be heat treated (see U.S.P. 5,211,216; particularly column 1, lines 7-33; column 10, lines 51-68).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time that the applicant's invention was made to have modified the process of JP 9-3581 by the teachings of Drury et al. One would have been motivated to do so in order to provide a process that made casting with good structural integrity at low cost and in high volumes, as Drury et al. teach. One would have been motivated to do so in order to provide a product with improved porosity characteristics, as Drury et al. teach.

Application/Control Number: 10/040,738

Art Unit: 1725

6. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 9-3581 as applied to claim 1 above, and claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 9-3581 in view of Drury et al. (U.S.P. 5,211,216) as applied to claims 1 and 2 above.

The former references teach the processes described above in sections 3 and 5. However, although these references, particularly JP 9-3581, teach that the cast and forged article can be solution treated and aged, they do not teach the specific heat treatment cited by the applicant.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time that the applicant's invention was made to have modified the teachings of the references above to use the heat treatment cited by the applicant. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to optimize a heat treatment, such as one taught by JP 9-3581 in Table 3, in order to meet desired mechanical properties. One of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that by changing the heat treatment, such as by changing temperatures and/or times, one would change and affect the final mechanical properties of the product. One of ordinary skill in the art would understand that solution treatment would be effective in driving precipitates into solution, while aging would be effective in coarsening precipitates in a controlled manner to affect mechanical properties.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin L McHenry whose telephone number is (703) 305-9626. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

Application/Control Number: 10/040,738

Muslemy

Art Unit: 1725

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas G Dunn can be reached on (703) 308-3318. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

March 20, 2003

M. ALEXANDRA ELVE PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 5